



Present: Ali Asghari Adib, Selasi Attipoe, Tyler Beauregard, Aaron Beczkiewicz, Om Prakash Bedant, Jessica Blackburn, Steph Charles, Jorge Clavo Abbass (ALT), Katherine Conner, Robert Dahlberg-Sears, Ty Drayton, Benjamin Duran, Jorge Torres Espinosa, Brandon Free, Jahmour Givans, Jenna Greve, Abigail Grieff, Kathryn Holt, Sarah Hyman, Carly LaRosa, Lindsey Lawson, Yifan Li, Madeleine Lomax-Vogt, Matthew Maynard, Aviva Neff, Alejandro Otero Bravo (ALT), Maritza Pierre, Kelsey Pinckard, Marie Rineveld, Conner Sarich, Erik Scaltriti, Michelle Scott, Melika Shahhosseini, Kye Stachowski, Leila Vieira, Sarah Walton, Yu Lun Wu (ALT), Megan Zib

Absent: e alexander, Jacky Anderson, Pranav Ambardekar, Luke Andrejek, Andrew Borst, Mary Byrne, Qiuchang (Katy) Cao, Yu-chun Chang, Min-Seok Choi, Alec Clott, Paul Consiglio, Austin Cool, Archit Datar, Kat Ellis, Micheline Fahrback, Elizabeth Galko, Lauren Howard, Audrey Hungerpillar, Kevin Ingles, Jeffrey Kast, Pouya Kousha, Arsh Kumar, Jungmin Lee, Ho-chieh Lin, Ruonan Lin, Stephen Lo, Eric Loria, Emily Lundstedt, Tricia Oyster, Lucas Magee, Tori Magers, Rohit Mukherjee, Aviva Neff, Kate Ormiston, Ryann Patrus, Mark Pauley, Miguel Pedrozo, Avi Pokala, Ken Poland, Isaac Reynolds, Zeltzin Reyes, Priscila Rodriguez Garcia, Ashweta Sahni, Lena Schreiber, Sarah Scott, Utkarsh Shah, Shruthi Shetty, Karla Shockleymccarthy, Preeti Singh, Sundeeep Siripurapu, Nithya Sivashankar, Ryan Slechts, Lindsey Stirek, Nicole Tchorowski, Philip Tice, Taylor Tomu, James Uanhoro, Jennifer Valdez, Mitch Vicieux, Derek Walton, Daniel Williams, Piao Yang, Soroush Zamanian

1. CALL TO ORDER: Meeting called to order at 3:30pm

1.1. Statement of Purpose

- 1.1.1. To effectively advocate and program to ensure that The Ohio State University graduate student experience is the best it can be.

2. ROLL CALL

2.1. Carmen log-in

3. NEW BUSINESS

3.1. Resolution 1920-014: A Resolution Against the Plan to Return to Campus

- 3.1.1. Resolution is read in its entirety by Neff.336.

3.1.2. Questions:

- Free.41: Can authors give more context of the transition and post-pandemic transition task force?
 - In general, there was a lack of transparency with the task force. The last meeting was held July 29th and then was replaced by a new implementation task force beginning August 6th.
- Free.41: Is it unreasonable to ask to be a part of the implementation team?
 - This could be taken as a friendly amendment by the authors.
- Hyman.62: Can someone explain more clearly the specific asks of this resolution? Are there activities currently happening that do not meet these requests?
 - We would like the university to severely limit any and all gatherings and in-person interactions of more than 10 people, including classes, and reduce/adapt other policies that put the safety of students at risk. Yes, there are in-person and hybrid classes that would not meet these new criteria.
- Velasquezgiraldo.1: Was the visa status of international students taken into account when writing this resolution?
 - This policy has since been rescinded by the Trump administrations., International students should not be adversely impacted by this resolution.

3.1.3. Discussion:

- Sarich.6: This resolution is not aimed at limiting in-person work for those in laboratories and specifically addresses large group classes and events.

- Edison.22: Proposes to change the language in Line 74 from “vast majority” to “all non-necessary in-person classes”.
 - This suggestion is accepted as a friendly amendment.
- Shahhosseini.2: The ICE announcement has been rescinded and will not affect currently enrolled students but for new students, a fully online status would actually be better because that will allow them to participate fully from their home country.
- Gregg.186: There is a lot of department support for the ideas of this resolution.
- Dahlberg-sears.1: Proposes adjustment to the language in Lines 72-73 to clarify that we are a digital flagship institution and remove “the” from Line 74 for grammatical reasons.
 - This suggestion is accepted as a friendly amendment.
- Dahlberg-sears.1: Proposes to add another clause after Line 91, reading “WHEREAS The Ohio State University has offered no adequate and fully explanatory circumstances or timeline under which any in-person instruction would be terminated; and”
 - This suggestion is accepted as a friendly amendment.
- Zib1: What prevents professors from saying that all in-person classes are absolutely necessary?
- Motion to extend discussion by 5 minutes.
- Motion approved.
- Free.41: Proposes to add another resolved clause, reading “BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Council of Graduate Students demands representation on the Post Pandemic Implementation Team and any further discussions on implementation, with additional representation to be granted to undergraduate students and professional students.”
 - This suggestion is accepted as a friendly amendment.
- Free.41: Lines 114-115 are very broad and would maybe benefit from some more specific language about which things
 - Stachowski.7: Suggested “where no online alternatives exist” to replace “unless those courses...absolutely required”
 - This suggestion is accepted as a friendly amendment.
 - Final wording: “unless those in-person activities have no online alternatives”
- Motion to extend discussion by 5 minutes.
- Motion approved.
- Hibler.10: Given that the pandemic confers significant risk to the personal and social health of grad students and these families, is it reasonable to add a clause requesting hazard pay for activities where grad students are forced to put themselves in jeopardy?
 - This suggestion is well received and the authors will save it for a future related resolution if necessary.

3.1.4. Resolution is voted on via Carmen survey.

3.1.5. Resolution passes (33 Yeas, 0 Nays, 0 Abstention).

4. Meeting adjourned at 4:15pm.